P4P as a Support Tool for Medicaid Disease Management Programs

Jim Hardy President, Sellers-Feinberg

Presentation Overview

- General Overview of Medicaid in Pennsylvania
- Overview of Pennsylvania's Enhanced Primary Care Management Program
- Role and Description of P4P
- Early Results
- Lessons Learned
- Relationship to other Medicaid Quality Initiatives

General Overview of Medicaid in Pennsylvania

- Over 1.9 million Pennsylvanians (14% of the total population) get their health care through Medicaid
 - \$14 billion annual budget
- Pennsylvania operates two programs
 - Mandatory capitated managed care in 25 urban and suburban counties
 - Program 10 years old
 - Enhanced primary care case management (EPCCM) program in remaining 42 counties
 - Started in 2005
 - Competes with voluntary managed care in 26 counties
 - Dual eligibles not enrolled in capitated or EPCCM programs

Enhanced Primary Case Management Program (Access Plus)

- Pennsylvania implemented Access Plus in March, 2005
 - 290,000 adults and children in 42 counties
 - Children had been in PCCM program
 - Dual eligibles excluded
- Program Description
 - Single vendor
 - Medical home for each consumer
 - Care coordination
 - Transportation coordination
 - Disease management for Asthma, diabetes, CHF, COPD and CAD (32,000 enrolled)
 - Complex case management
 - Vendor at risk for DM performance and quality measures related to medical home

Challenges for Access Plus Disease Management program

- No DM programs in place prior to Access Plus
- Access to primary care services
 - geography
 - Provider shortages
 - Adults without medical homes
- Social, economic and cultural barriers to consumer self management
- Physician willingness to participate in MA
 - Low payment rates
 - Recent conversion to new MMIS system

Role of Physician Pay for Performance (P4P)

- Medicaid program wanted to assure that the Access Plus vendor created partnerships with physicians
 - Vendor at risk for key HEDIS measure improvement and guaranteed savings
 - Required vendor to dedicate a portion of PMPM to physician P4P
 - "Use it or lose it" provision

Wanted P4P that

- provides encouragement to physicians to play an active role in the disease management program
- Creates payment streams that generated payments early in the program
- Is simple for both the physician and the program to oversee

P4P Program Overview

- Program developed with input from physicians in program area and statewide professional societies
 - Wanted clear early path to additional reimbursement
 - Payments directed to primary care provider
- Three tier program
 - First two tier designed to generate physician payments early in program
 - Second tier focused on patient engagement
 - Third tier based claims review

- Primary Care provider:
 - Reviews the written description of DM program and FAQs
 - Signs a form that gives DM staff permission to use the clinician's name during patient recruitment
 - Completes a brief survey
 - Receiving \$200 payment (equals almost 7 PCP visit payments)

- Patient enrollment support
 - Payment to a participating practitioner for contacting newly eligible high risk patients to encourage them to enroll in the program
 - Contact can occur by mail, phone or in-person
 - Contacts are documented on a patient roster of DM enrollees linked to PCP
 - Payment = \$40 per contact

- Payment to a participating practitioner for locating and furnishing contact information for selected patients, as requested by the ACCESS Plus DM staff
- Payment = \$30 for each patient that the office receives a request for from the Access Plus staff

- Payment for completion of Chronic Care Feedback Form (CCF)
 - Used by Care Coordination Nurses to help them more effectively monitor and coach high risk patients
 - Captures key clinical information that is entered into the ACCESS Plus Database for ongoing trend analysis
 - Medication list
 - Most recent vital signs, lab values, goals
 - Patient Education needs
- CCF completed every six months, payment = \$60

Tier Two Payments

- Payment for each instance when patient reports taking key medications for the target condition:
 - CHF: Beta Blocker
 - Diabetes: Aspirin
 - Asthma: A "controller" medication (persistent asthma)
 - CAD: Aspirin
- Substitute medications will count in cases of contraindications
- High risk patients only
- Data collected during semi annual telephonic patient assessment by Access Plus DM staff
- Payment = \$17 per patient

Tier Three Payments

- Payments based on whether claims data demonstrates patient engagement in taking key medications and having necessary lab work:
 - CHF: Beta Blocker
 - Diabetes: measurement of LDL-C
 - Asthma: A "controller: medication if patient has persistent asthma
 - CAD: Statins
- Substitute medications count in cases of contraindications
- <u>Both</u> high risk and low risk patients
- Payment = \$17 per patient annually

Early Results

- Began program in winter of 2006
- 600 of 2100 PCPs in network have signed up
- 50% of level 2 and level 3 DM patients with enrolled providers
- Data suggests that quality higher for patients with enrolled PCPs
- Overall Access Plus results also promising
 - ER visits down
 - Inpatient admission down

Lessons Learned

- Payment mechanics did not work smoothly, especially for large health systems
- Paper/fax data transfer cumbersome
- Not enough incentives for pediatric PCPs –although not DM related could have used P4P to help funding issues
- Recruitment efforts could be improved
- Need better mechanism to make sure vendor maximizes impact of P4P payments

Next Steps

- Pediatric P4P measures being finalized
 - Lead screens
 - Maternal depression screens during well child visits
 - Dental care for pregnant women
 - Obesity counseling referrals
- Other additions planned for adults
- Increase in amount of funds dedicated to P4P
 - Rather put money in P4P rather than across the board increases

Physician P4P Part of Larger Strategy

- Access Plus vendor incentives/penalties
 - Improvement in key HEDIS measures
 - Guaranteed Savings
- Hospital Quality Program
 - Rewards for lowering re-admission rates
 - Commitment to EMR and improvements in pharmacy error reduction
- MCO quality incentive program
 - Additional payments for HEDIS improvements
- Proposing MCO requirement (and funding for) to develop or expand physician P4P programs
- Looking at consumer incentive pilot

Conclusion

- P4P was effective in creating additional payment streams to PCPs
- Early data suggests that patients with PCPs in the P4P program did better
- P4P better way to increase provider payments than across the board increases
- Overall results of Access Plus program promising
- Need to create incentives for vendor to maximize use of P4P funding